
Inhaled medication other than antibiotics

Chronic use of dornase alfa (pulmozyme) in patients > 6 years old

Code: 091

Updated: May 18, 2025

Background

In cystic fibrosis (CF) lung disease, there is a significant influx of neutrophils into the airways. As these neutrophils die, they release large quantities of DNA, which thickens sputum and reduces ciliary transport. The resulting viscous secretions lead to mucus plugging, perpetuating cycles of infection and inflammation.

Dornase alfa (Pulmozyme®) is a highly purified solution of recombinant human deoxyribonuclease (rhDNase). When inhaled at a dose of 2.5 mg/day, it reduces sputum viscosity, facilitating mucus clearance. rhDNase works by cleaving extracellular DNA in the airways, directly relieving obstruction—a key rationale behind its development—which translates into significant improvements in lung function. Additionally, dornase alfa reduces the risk of exacerbations and infections requiring intravenous antibiotics, likely due to improved mucociliary clearance and broader effects on host defenses.

Observational registry-based studies have confirmed that patients using dornase alfa experience modest benefits, with a favorable safety and tolerability profile across all age groups. Moreover, initiating dornase alfa therapy leads to an acute improvement in FEV₁, and consistent use is associated with a slower annual decline in FEV₁ over a two-year period.

A recent single-center study assessed the impact of discontinuing nebulized dornase alfa on lung clearance index (LCI) in school-age children with CF who were not receiving CFTR modulators or hydrator therapy ([Voldby C. 2021](#)). One month of dornase alfa withdrawal resulted in increased ventilation inhomogeneity and worsening FEV₁ and FEF₂₅₋₇₅ in children with mild CF.

The overall evidence supporting the efficacy and mechanisms of dornase alfa has been reviewed in recent publications ([Southern KW. 2019](#)) and ([Terlizzi V. 2022](#)).

Based on available data, dornase alfa is recommended in the Cystic Fibrosis Foundation Guidelines as a standard treatment for children aged six years and older with mild to severe lung disease ([Mogayzel Jr. PJ. 2013](#)). It is also included in the European Cystic Fibrosis Society standards of care ([Castellani C. 2018](#)).

Despite these recommendations, dornase alfa usage varies across countries. In the US, the Cystic Fibrosis Foundation Patient Registry reported that in 2019, 92.4% of patients aged six years and older used rhDNase. In Europe, usage rates vary widely, ranging from 67% in the UK to 50% in Germany and 37% in Italy. In recent years, these percentages have shifted following the introduction of CFTR modulators. By 2023, the proportion of patients aged six and older using rhDNase had declined to 82.9% in the US, 61.4% in the UK, and between 36% and 42% in German children and adults, respectively.

A recent study using national registry data compared longitudinal lung function in children with CF in the US and the UK. It found that US children homozygous for F508del had better lung function than their UK counterparts, a difference primarily attributed to earlier use of treatments such as dornase alfa and hypertonic saline ([Schluter DK. 2022](#)).

Issues

1. To determine whether there is evidence of benefit in using dornase alfa in people with CF in terms of a reduction in morbidity or mortality.
2. To identify any adverse events associated with the use of dornase alfa.
3. To compare the efficacy of dornase alfa with other mucolytics (such as hypertonic saline, acetylcysteine and mesna).
4. To determine the effect of timing of dornase alfa inhalation on measures of clinical efficacy in people with cystic fibrosis (in relation to airway clearance techniques or time of the day).
5. To determine the effect of dornase alfa on sinonasal problems in CF.
6. To determine the effect of withdrawing dornase alfa in CF

What is known

Regarding issues 1 - 3

A recent CDSR is available on this topics ([Yang C. 2021](#)).

There is evidence to show that, compared with placebo, therapy with dornase alfa improves lung function in people with cystic fibrosis in trials lasting one month to two years. There was a decrease in pulmonary exacerbations in trials of six months or longer. There is not enough evidence to firmly conclude if dornase alfa is superior to other hyperosmolar agents in improving lung function.

A recent review ([Southern KW. 2019](#)) explores the evidence supporting the use of dornase alfa, hypertonic saline, and mannitol in improving mucus clearance in patients with CF from different age groups with differing disease severity and the unanswered questions regarding the optimal use of these agents.

The effect of dornase alfa on mortality is inconclusive, because many of the trials are short-term.

Dornase alfa is well-tolerated. Voice alteration and rash appear to be the only adverse events reported with increased frequency in randomised controlled trials.

Limiting the treatment to selected groups of patients may be a more reasonable approach in practice, given the high drug cost and varied treatment response.

An observational study, based on registry data from UK ([Newsome SJ. 2019](#)), showed that DNase improved lung function in individuals with reduced lung function (ppFEV₁ < 70%), bringing a step-change in lung function, but no change in the slope of decline. On the other side, there was no evidence for a benefit in lung function in those initiating treatment with ppFEV₁ ≥ 70%.

Finally, another registry-based study, using data of the European CF registry ([McKone E. 2020](#)) confirms earlier work that in European patients <18 years of age, dornase alfa treatment leads to an improved rate of decline in lung function.

A research question that also in the modulator era could be significant, in particular for people with severe lung disease and/or subjects not eligible to CFTR modulation, is:

Did people with CF and received dornase alpha and Hypertonic Saline (HS) have better preserved lung function than those treated with DA only?

Two studies evaluated this issue:

1) A registry study from US, involving patients followed between 2006 and 2014 (pre-CFTR modulators era) shows that subjects with CF F508del had no significant difference in lung function when nebulized HS was added to dornase for 1-5 years ([Kaditis AG. 2023](#)).

2) A registry study using UK CF Registry data from 2007 to 2018, emulated a target trial. The authors included people aged 6 years and over who were prescribed DNase without HS for 2 years. Moreover they investigated the effects of combinations of DNase and HS over 5 years of follow-up. Inverse-probability-of-treatment weighting was used to control confounding. The study concluded that for individuals with CF prescribed DNase, no evidence was found that adding HS had an effect on FEV₁% or prescription of intravenous antibiotics. ([Granger E. 2023](#))

Regarding issue 4

A recent CDSR is available on this topic ([Dentice R. 2021](#))

The current evidence is insufficient to recommend inhaling dornase alfa before or after the airway clearance techniques.

Inhalation of dornase alfa for children with well-preserved lung function before airway clearance techniques may be more beneficial for small airway function, but does not affect other outcomes.

However, the timing of dornase alfa inhalation can be largely based on pragmatic reasons or individual preference.

Regarding issue 5

Nasally inhaled dornase alfa can be effective in patients with cystic fibrosis and sinonasal disease who do not respond to conventional therapy after surgical treatment. A RCT ([Mainz JG. 2014](#)) with a novel device gave promising results for the new therapeutic concept of sinonasal inhalation with vibrating aerosols. In fact, primary nasal symptoms improved significantly with dornase alfa compared with no treatment, while small improvements with isotonic saline did not reach significance. SNOT-20 overall scores improved significantly after dornase alfa compared with isotonic saline (p=0.017).

Recently, a systematic review ([Shah GB. 2018](#)) concluded that topical intranasal dornase appears to improve sinonasal symptoms in CF patients to a greater degree than saline alone. The impact on other outcomes is less clear. Larger studies are needed to fully elucidate the true efficacy of dornase alfa in the treatment of CRS in CF patients.

Regarding issue 6

- A particular point of view is the effect of withdrawal of dornase alfa on lung function. One month's withdrawal of dornase alfa caused increasing ventilation inhomogeneity measured by Lung Clearance Index (LCI) and deteriorating FEV₁ and FEV₂₅₋₇₅ in school-age children with mild CF ([Voldby C. 2021](#)).
- The SIMPLIFY study aimed to assess the effects of discontinuing nebulised hypertonic saline or dornase alfa in individuals using the CFTR modulator elexacaftor plus tezacaftor plus ivacaftor (ETI). The SIMPLIFY study included two parallel, multicentre, open-label, randomised, controlled, non-inferiority trials at 80 participating clinics across the USA in the Cystic Fibrosis Therapeutics Development Network. Individuals with cystic fibrosis aged 12-17 years with percent predicted FEV₁ (ppFEV₁) of 70% or more, or those aged 18 years or older with ppFEV₁ of 60% or more, if they had been taking ETI and either (or both) mucoactive therapies (≥3% hypertonic saline (HS) or dornase alfa (DA)) for at least 90 days before screening were included. The main results was that in individuals with CF on ETI with relatively well preserved pulmonary function, discontinuing daily HS or DA for 6 weeks did not result in clinically meaningful differences in pulmonary function when compared with continuing treatment ([Mayer-Hamblett N. 2023](#)).
- In a SIMPLIFY substudy, gamma scintigraphy was used to determine whether discontinuation of either HS or DA was associated with deterioration in the rate of in vivo mucociliary clearance (MCC) in participants ≥12 years of age. While no significant differences in MCC endpoints were associated with HS discontinuation, significant improvement in whole and peripheral lung MCC was observed after discontinuing DA. These results suggest that pwCF on ETI with mild lung disease do not experience a subclinical deterioration in MCC that could later impact health outcomes after discontinuing HS, and in fact may benefit from improved MCC after stopping DA treatment ([Donaldson SH. 2024](#)).
- On this basis, although the costs of DA and HS are smaller compared with ETI, reduction in use would lead to substantial prescription drug cost savings and reduce the treatment burden. However, individual benefits of these therapies should be considered, and decisions regarding changes in therapy remain an important discussion between people with CF and their providers ([Gold LS. 2024](#)).

- To evaluate the impact of discontinuing both hypertonic saline (HS) and dornase alfa (DA) versus continuing both therapies, a subgroup of participants in the SIMPLIFY study who sequentially participated in trials evaluating the independent clinical effects of discontinuing HS and DA was studied. Forty-three participants discontinued both therapies by the end of SIMPLIFY, and 63 remained on both. In conclusion, SIMPLIFY participants who sequentially discontinued both HS and DA experienced no meaningful changes in clinical outcomes and reported decreased treatment burden as compared with those who remained on both therapies ([Mayer-Hamblett N. 2024](#)).

Miscellanea of further clinical trials

- rhDNase was tested vs inhaled mannitol: mannitol was showed to be at least as effective as rhDNase after 3 months of treatment. The combination of mannitol and rhDNase was not useful ([Minasian C. 2010](#)). Different results were showed by a retrospective case-control study in children with CF. This study showed that in those patients who tolerated long-term (12 months) treatment with DPI, mannitol and dornase alfa made greater improvements in FEV₁, FVC, FEV₁/FVC, FEF₂₅₋₇₅ z-scores than treatment with dornase alfa alone ([Tural DA. 2021](#))
- rhDNase significantly improved Lung Clearance Index in CF patients with mild lung disease ([Amin R. 2011](#)).
- Administration of dornase alfa via an electronic nebulizer with vibrating membrane technology (eRapid nebulizer) resulted in comparable efficacy and safety, shorter nebulization times, and higher patient preference ([Sawicki GS. 2015](#)).

Unresolved questions

There is a need to investigate on the effect of rhDNase on longitudinal outcomes such as rate of decline for FEV₁ in CF. It is likely that further trials comparing daily dornase alfa with other regimens (e.g. alternate day dornase alfa) or with other mucolytics will be important in the future.

FEV₁ was not affected by the timing of dornase alfa inhalation with respect to airway clearance or time of the day. To investigate the effect on small airway function, a more sensitive measure, such as nitrogen washout and/or lung clearance index, might be used.

Long term research is needed to evaluate the long term cost effectiveness of rhDNase and to identify which patients would benefit most from this expensive treatment.

A recent issue regards the fact that people with CF who are treated with highly efficient CFTR modulators (ivacaftor and elexacaftor/Tezacaftor/ivacaftor [ETI]) are less likely to continue other treatments such as inhaled antibiotics, dornase alfa, hypertonic saline, chronic oral antibiotics and supplementary feeding, compared to people who are not treated with these drugs. In particular, the differences in use of dornase alfa and hypertonic saline solution between ivacaftor-treated and non-ivacaftor-treated people, are larger for people with higher lung function ([Granger E. 2021](#)). The SIMPLIFY study has showed that in individuals with CF on ETI with relatively well preserved pulmonary function, discontinuing daily hypertonic saline or dornase alfa for 6 weeks did not result in clinically meaningful differences in pulmonary function when compared with continuing treatment ([Mayer-Hamblett N. 2023](#)). Moreover, the pwCF on ETI with mild lung disease do not experience a subclinical deterioration in MCC that could later impact health outcomes after discontinuing HS, and in fact may benefit from improved MCC after stopping DA treatment ([Donaldson SH. 2024](#)). Further studies are ongoing to explore this issue on a longer period of time. Until we have more definitive long-term evidence, treatment discontinuation decisions will need to be made jointly between CF clinicians and pwCF or their caregivers weighing the benefits of treatment rationalization against the uncertain effects of long-term discontinuation on respiratory outcomes.

In conclusion, dornase alfa is still recommended for PwCF while awaiting long-term data to support discontinuation for PwCF who are receiving CFTR modulators. Dornase alfa continues to be needed in PwCF who are not candidates for CFTR modulators, including those without eligible variants, and in those whose condition does not improve adequately while receiving CFTR modulators. Further long-term studies, real-world evidence, and biomarker research are warranted to define optimal CF treatment regimens as well as populations in whom mucoactive therapy can be discontinued during chronic CFTR modulator therapy.

Keywords

Airway clearance drugs -expectorants- mucolytic- mucociliary-;